

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 2073	
09/926,411	10/29/2001	Ryuji Ueno	215246US0PCT		
. 22850	7590 08/07/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314	AZPURU, CARLOS A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/07/2002		

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/926,411		UENO, RYUJI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Çarlos A. Azpurt		1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>052</u>	<u>7/03</u> .						
2a)⊠ 1	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 2-6 and 8-24 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,9-12 and 24</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892)  f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
U.S. Patent and Trade PTO-326 (Rev. 0		ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 10				

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 05/27/03. An information disclosure statement was filed on 02/24/03 and 07/01/03. It is noted that no PTOL 1449 was provided for the 07/01/03 filing.

The rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

A new rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph will be cited in view of applicant's new claims. The rejections under 35 USC; 35 USC 102(b) over Yang et al, and Iwamoto et al are maintained in regards to claim 24.

Newly submitted claims 13-23 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 13-23 refer to a method which does not require the particulars of the treatment method. In particular, the treatment method requires administration to the eye itself. Tear film stability can be measured in a lab without administration to the eye.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 13-24 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/926,411

Art Unit: 1615

The following rejections are maintained with regard to claim 24:

### 1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 3. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang et al.
- 5. Yang et al disclose a composition of FK506 (see page 134, second column, FK506 treatment). The intended use of the composition does not lend the instant claims patentable weight over the prior art. The instant claim is anticipated by Yang et al.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwamoto et al.

Iwamoto et al disclose a composition comprising FK506 (a macrolide) as the active ingredient. The instant claim is anticipated by Iwamoto et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1615

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 is indefinite in that it claims an "agent" which comprises FK506.

Clarification is requested as to whether applicant is claiming the compound FK506 as an agent, or a composition containing FK506.

The following are cited in view of applicants newly cited 1449 filed 07/01/03:

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is indefinite in that it refers to a method of treating dry eye. It is unclear how this method improves tear film time. Clarification is requested.

Art Unit: 1615

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandoz Ltd.

Sandoz Ltd disclose the administration of a macrolide (see Abstract) such as FK505 (page 2, line 21). Dosages range from 10 to 100 mgs (see page 16, lines 14-16). Administration of the compound can be given for the treatment of keratoconjunctivitis sicca (dry eye) (see page 18, ine13), The instant claims are anticipated by Sandoz, Itd.

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Cancellation of claims 4, 8, and 13-24, and amendment of claim 6 limiting administration to "directly to the eye" or ocular administration would place the application in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

са

August 6, 2003

CARLOS AZPURU

**GROUP 1500**